

INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT



First Follow-Up Internal Control Audit: Electronic Funds Transfer Process - Treasurer-Tax Collector

As of July 31, 2018

Audit No. 1735-H (Reference 1583-F1) Report Date: August 30, 2018

OC Board of Supervisors



INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

Audit No. 1735-H (Reference 1583-F1)

August 30, 2018

To: Shari Freidenrich, CPA

Treasurer-Tax Collector

From: Scott Suzuki, CPA, Acting Director

Internal Audit Department

Subject: First Follow-Up Internal Control Audit:

Electronic Funds Transfer Process - Treasurer-Tax Collector

We have completed a follow-up audit of the electronic funds transfer process as of July 10, 2018, original Audit No. 1583, dated June 13, 2017. Due to the sensitive nature of specific findings (restricted information), only the results for Finding Nos. 4, 5, and 9 immediately follow this letter. Results for the remaining findings are included in Appendix A (which is redacted from public release) and additional information including background and our scope is included in Appendix B.

Our follow-up audit found that Treasurer-Tax Collector implemented six (6) recommendations and three (3) recommendations are in process. A second follow-up audit will be performed in approximately six months and a follow-up audit report form is attached to facilitate this audit. Any recommendations found not implemented or in process at that time will be brought to the attention of the Audit Oversight Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

We appreciate the assistance extended to us by Treasurer-Tax Collector personnel during our follow-up audit. If you have any questions, please contact me at 714.834.5509 or Jimmy Nguyen, IT Audit Manager II, at 714.834.2526.

Attachments

Other recipients of this report:
Members, Board of Supervisors
Members, Audit Oversight Committee
Frank Kim, County Executive Officer
County Executive Office Distribution
Treasurer-Tax Collector Distribution
Foreperson, Grand Jury
Robin Stieler, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

RESULTS				
FINDING No. 1	Removed due to the sensitive nature of the finding.			
FINDING No. 2	Removed due to the sensitive nature of the finding.			
FINDING No. 3	Removed due to the sensitive nature of the finding.			
FINDING No. 4	EFT Payment Form Signatures Not Validated			
CATEGORY	Control Finding			
RECOMMENDATION	We recommend that T-TC maintain a wire transfer authorized signature document log in order to appropriately cross-reference and validate authenticity of signatures on the EFT payment request form prior to processing payments.			
CURRENT STATUS	Implemented. We found T-TC implemented a process to periodically request Auditor-Controller provide documented confirmation of EFT payment request primary and backup approvers, and a corresponding signature log to confirm and reconcile authorized individuals documented on the EFT payment request forms. We performed a review and verified T-TC maintains a formal signature log document that appropriately outlines current EFT payment request primary and backup approvers and their corresponding signature log.			
	Because T-TC appropriately maintains a current EFT payment approver authorized signature log, we consider this recommendation implemented.			
FINDING No. 5	Requestor Name Not Printed on EFT Request Forms for the Department of Education			
CATEGORY	Control Finding			
RECOMMENDATION	We recommend that the Department of Education Wire Request Form be modified to include a section for employees to print their names next to their signatures for ease of verifying signature owner.			

Internal Audit Department

CURRENT STATUS	Implemented. We found T-TC revised the EFT Wire Request form for the Department of Education (DoE) to include printed names next to approved signatures for ease of reference. We performed a review of several EFT Wire Request forms DoE recently submitted and verified that the approval names were appropriately printed next to signatures. Because T-TC appropriately revised the EFT payment request forms, we consider this recommendation implemented.
FINDING No. 6	Removed due to the sensitive nature of the finding.
FINDING No. 7	Removed due to the sensitive nature of the finding.
FINDING No. 8	Removed due to the sensitive nature of the finding.
FINDING No. 9	T-TC Suite Physical Access Controls
CATEGORY	Control Finding
RECOMMENDATION	We recommend the entry point to the T-TC suite where EFT's are processed be equipped with a keycard lock.
CURRENT STATUS	Implemented. We found that the entry point door to the T-TC suite was properly locked by a physical key at all times. We also verified keys to unlock the door were properly restricted to personnel with direct business needs. Because T-TC appropriately locked the entry door to the T-TC suite to prevent unauthorized access, we consider this recommendation implemented.
AUDIT TEAM	Jimmy Nguyen, CISA, CFE IT Audit Manager II Scott Kim, CPA, CISA IT Audit Manager I

INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

APPENDIX A: RESTRICTED INFORMATION

Content in Appendix A has been removed from this report due to the sensitive nature of the specific findings.

INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION				
SCOPE	Our follow-up audit was limited to reviewing actions taken as of July 10, 2018 to implement the nine (9) recommendations from our original audit dated on June 13, 2017.			
BACKGROUND	The original audit reviewed internal control over the Treasurer-Tax Collector's (T-TC) Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) process as of August 15, 2016 to ensure appropriate internal control for safeguarding EFTs are in effect and operating as intended, ensure EFTs processed by the T-TC are accurate, and to identify any business process efficiency enhancements related to EFTs. The original audit identified two (2) Critical Control Weaknesses, one (1) Significant Control Weakness, and six (6) Control Findings.			

INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT

APPENDIX C: FOLLOW-UP AUDIT IMPLEMENTATION STATUS						
Implemented	In Process	Not Implemented	Closed			
The department has implemented our recommendation in all respects as verified by the follow-up audit. No further follow-up is required.	The department is in the process of implementing our recommendation. Additional follow-up may be required.	The department has taken no action to implement our recommendation. Additional follow-up may be required.	Circumstances have changed surrounding our original finding/ recommendation that: (1) make it no longer applicable or (2) the department has implemented and will only implement a portion of our recommendation. No further follow-up is required.			